UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA NEW ALBANY DIVISION

In re:) Chapter 11
EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC,) Case No. 10-93904-BHL-11
Debtor.)

TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE AND SETTLEMENT AMONG THE TRUSTEE, JAMES EDWARD EDENS, IV, E4 CATTLE COMPANY, LLC, AND FIFTH THIRD BANK

James A. Knauer, the Chapter 11 Trustee ("<u>Trustee</u>") appointed in the above-captioned case ("<u>Chapter 11 Case</u>") for the estate ("<u>Estate</u>") of Eastern Livestock Co., LLC ("<u>Debtor</u>"), by counsel, respectfully moves this Court for an order approving the proposed compromise attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u> ("<u>Settlement Agreement</u>") among the Trustee, James Edward Edens, IV ("<u>Edens</u>"), E4 Cattle Company, LLC ("<u>E4</u>"), and Fifth Third Bank (collectively, "<u>Parties</u>"). In support of this motion, the Trustee says:

BACKGROUND & JURISDICTION

- 1. Certain petitioning creditors commenced the above-captioned Chapter 11 Case against the Debtor on December 6, 2010, by filing an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. This Court entered an *Order For Relief in An Involuntary Case and Order to Complete Filing* [Dkt. No. 110] on December 28, 2010. On December 27, 2010, the Court entered an Order Approving the Appointment of James A. Knauer as Chapter 11 Trustee [Dkt. No. 102] pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019.

3. The Trustee filed the *Trustee's Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on July 23, 2012 [Docket No. 1255] and the *First Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on October 26, 2012 [Docket No. 1490] ("<u>Plan</u>"). The Court entered an Order on December 17, 2012 [Docket No. 1644] ("<u>Confirmation Order</u>") confirming the Plan.

SETTLEMENT

- 4. On November 9, 2010, Fifth Third commenced Case No. A1010267 against Debtor and others in the Court of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio ("Receivership Case"). On November 19, 2010, Fifth Third filed an amended complaint that added Edens and others to the Receivership Case.
- 5. On July 3, 2012, the Trustee commenced adversary proceeding No. 12-59036 ("Adversary") against Edens and E4.
- 6. The Trustee and Fifth Third contend that claims now exist against Edens and E4 pursuant to Chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code and also pursuant to other federal and state law causes of action, including but not limited to avoidance of preferential transfers, fraudulent conveyances, unpaid accounts and invoices, bank fraud, and other wrongful conduct.
- 7. Edens and E4 have appeared by counsel and denied allegations made by the Trustee and Fifth Third in the Receivership Case, the Chapter 11 Case, and the Adversary. Edens and E4 have asserted affirmative defenses, and they deny any liability to the Trustee or to Fifth Third.
- 8. Edens and E4 contend that that claims now exist against Debtor, Trustee, and Fifth Third related to the purchase and delivery of cattle, unpaid commissions, setoffs, and for other wrongful conduct.

- 9. Without admission of any fault or liability and as a result of good faith settlement negotiations, the Parties agreed to resolve their disputes as summarized herein and more fully set forth in the attached Settlement Agreement.
- 10. Under the proposed Settlement Agreement, the "Settlement Amount" will be \$450,000, which will be discharged by the timely performance of the following obligations and the actual payment by Edens and/or E4 to the Trustee the amount of \$365,899.89 on the following schedule:
 - a. \$63,695.56 of the Settlement Amount has been delivered to the Trustee and is held in the Trustee's escrow account pending the occurrence of the Effective Date (as defined below). Within five business days of the Effective Date, these funds shall be disbursed to the Trustee.
 - b. \$20,204.33 plus interest and other adjustments is held in Edens' escrow account pending the occurrence of the Effective Date. Within five business days of the Effective Date, the full balance of Edens' escrow account shall be disbursed to the Trustee.
 - c. \$82,000 of the Settlement Amount shall be paid by Edens to the Trustee within five business days of the Effective Date.
 - d. \$100,000 shall be due, owing and paid on or before one year after the Effective Date, as set forth in a promissory note to be delivered by Edens and E4.
 - e. \$100,000 shall be due, owing and paid on or before two years after the Effective Date, as set forth in a promissory note to be delivered by Edens and E4.
 - f. Edens and E4 shall execute, deliver, and perform the payment obligations of a non-interest bearing promissory note ("Note") in a form substantially similar to the exhibit to the Settlement Agreement. The Note shall be in the total face amount of \$450,000.00. Within five business days of the Effective Date, Edens shall execute the Note on his individual behalf and on behalf of E4 and shall deliver the Note to the Trustee.
 - g. In the event that the amount of at least \$365,899.89 has been timely paid to the Trustee, the remaining Note balance of \$84,100.11 shall be deemed paid in full and the payment obligation under the Note discharged.
- 11. In addition, under the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the Parties agreed to the releases set forth in Section 4 of that Agreement. The releases discharge the Parties

from any liability as to the claims asserted against or by Edens or E4 in the Receivership Case, the Chapter 11 Case, and the Adversary.

- 12. Further, pursuant to the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the Parties agreed to the following dismissals and tolling of claims with respect to their pending litigation:
 - a. Within fourteen days of the Effective Date, the Trustee, Edens, and E4 will file a stipulation of dismissal in the Adversary, each party to bear his or its own fees and costs. The stipulation shall recite that it is without prejudice to the Trustee's rights to enforce this Settlement Agreement, including without limitation payment of the Settlement Amount. In the event of an uncured default by Edens and E4 and in the event the Trustee determines to refile its claims against Edens or E4, the Trustee agrees to cap the amount of any recoverable damages at \$650,000, with credit for all amounts paid by Edens or E4 to the Trustee pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or the Note or to Fifth Third pursuant any suit it may bring against Edens or E4.
 - b. Within fourteen days of the occurrence of the Effective Date, Fifth Third and Edens will file a stipulation of dismissal in the Receivership Case, each party to bear his or its own fees and costs. The stipulation shall recite that it is without prejudice to Fifth Third's rights to enforce this Settlement Agreement, including without limitation payment of the Settlement Amount. In the event of an uncured default by Edens and E4 and in the event Fifth Third determines to refile its claims against Edens or E4, Fifth Third agrees to cap the amount of any recoverable damages at \$650,000, with credit for all amounts paid by Edens or E4 to the Trustee pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or the Note or to the Trustee pursuant to any suit he may bring against Edens or E4.
 - c. Pending the full payment of the Settlement Amount, the final and mutual release of claims shall be mutually tolled as to the Parties, including all claims, causes of action, counterclaims, defenses, and setoff rights, which in the event of default shall be revived as if never having been released in the event one or more Parties determines to commence suit against another Party. In no event shall the combined or separate liability of Edens or E4 to Fifth Third or the Trustee exceed \$650,000 in recoverable damages.
- 13. The Parties agreed that the proposed Settlement will be effective upon the entry of a final, non-appealable order approving the Settlement (the "Effective Date").
- 14. In the Trustee's professional judgment the proposed compromise as set forth in Exhibit 1 is in the best interest of the Estate and its creditors. The settlement will result in a recovery to the Estate and resolve contentious litigation.

15. In accordance with the terms of the Plan and in recognition of the strengths and weaknesses of the Parties' claims in light of the evidence obtained, 50% of the Settlement Amount will become part of the Collateral Fund and 50% of the Settlement Amount will become part of the Recovery Fund (as those terms are defined in the Plan).

BRIEF IN SUPPORT

- A. The Settlement Is Fair and in the Best Interests of the Debtors' Estates and Should Be Authorized Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a).
- 17. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes a bankruptcy court, on motion by a trustee and after appropriate notice and a hearing, to approve a compromise or settlement so long as the proposed compromise or settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate. See Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424 (1968); Depoister v. Mary M. Holloway Found., 36 F.3d 582, 586 (7th Cir. 1994) ("In conducting a hearing under Rule 9019(a), the bankruptcy court is to determine whether the proposed compromise is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate.") (internal citations omitted); In re Andreuccetti, 975 F.2d 413, 421 (7th Cir. 1992) (Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes the court to approve a settlement if "the settlement is in the best interests of the estate."); In re Energy Co-op, Inc., 886 F.2d 921, 927 (7th Cir. 1989) ("[t]he benchmark for determining the propriety of a bankruptcy settlement is whether the settlement is in the best interests of the estate.").
- 18. Compromises are tools for expediting the administration of the case and reducing administrative costs and are favored in bankruptcy. See Fogel v. Zell, 221 F.3d 955, 960 (7th Cir. 2000) ("Judges naturally prefer to settle complex litigation than to see it litigated to the hilt, especially when it is litigation in a bankruptcy proceeding the expenses of administering the bankruptcy often consume most or even all of the bankrupt's assets."); In re Martin, 91 F.3d 389,

393 (3d Cir. 1996) ("To minimize litigation and expedite the administration of a bankruptcy estate, compromises are favored in bankruptcy").

- 19. It is well-settled that a proposed settlement need not be the best result that the debtor could have achieved, but only must fall "within the reasonable range of litigation possibilities." Energy Co-op, 886 F.2d at 929.
 - 20. As further guidance, the Seventh Circuit has offered the following guidelines:

Central to the bankruptcy judge's determination is a comparison of the settlement's terms with the litigation's probable costs and probable benefits. Among the factors the bankruptcy judge should consider in [the] analysis are the litigation's probability of success, the litigation's complexity, and the litigation's attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay.

<u>LaSalle Nat'l Bank v. Holland</u> (<u>In re Am. Reserve Corp.</u>), 841 F.2d 159, 161 (7th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted).

- 21. The Settlement Agreement, negotiated at arm's length and in good faith, achieves a result that is in the best interest of the Debtor and its Estate in view of the evidence, the expenses of litigation, and the obstacles to collecting any judgments. As set forth more specifically in Exhibit 1, the Settlement Agreement resolves the pending litigation without further motion practice, discovery, or a trial.
- 22. For these reasons, the Settlement Agreement maximizes the value of the Estate's assets and minimizes the burden to the Estate. The Settlement Agreement should be approved pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

NOTICE

23. The Trustee will provide notice of this Motion pursuant to FED. R. BANK. P. 2002(a)(3) or as otherwise directed by this Court. The Notice shall include a definitive time in

which any party will be required to file and serve an objection stating with specificity its objection.

NO PRIOR REQUEST

24. No prior motion for the relief requested herein has been made to the Court in this case.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully request that this Court enter an order approving the Settlement Agreement, as it is in the best interests of the Estate and its creditors.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

By: /s/ Harmony Mappes

Counsel for James A. Knauer, Chapter 11 Trustee

Kevin M. Toner (#11343-49) Harmony Mappes(#27237-49) 300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700 Indianapolis, IN 46204-1782

Telephone: (317) 237-0300 Facsimile: (317) 237-1000 kevin.toner@faegrebd.com harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 12, 2014, a copy of the foregoing pleading was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

David L. Abt davidabt@mwt.net

Kay Dee Baird kbaird@kdlegal.com

C. R. Bowles, Jr cbowles@ bgdlegal.com

Kent A Britt kabritt@vorys.com

Lisa Koch Bryant courtmail@fbhlaw.net

Deborah Caruso dcaruso@daleeke.com

Joshua Elliott Clubb joshclubb@gmail.com

Jack S. Dawson jdawson@millerdollarhide.com

David Alan Domina dad@dominalaw.com

Shawna M. Eikenberry shawna.eikenberry@faegrebd.com

Robert Hughes Foree robertforee@bellsouth.net

Thomas P. Glass tpglass@strausstroy.com

Paul M. Hoffman paul.hoffmann@stinsonleonard.com

Jeffrey L Hunter jeff.hunter@usdoj.gov

Todd J. Johnston tjohnston@mcjllp.com

Edward M King tking@fbtlaw.com

Theodore A. Konstantinopoulos ndohbky@jbandr.com

David L. LeBas

dlebas@namanhowell.com

Elliott D. Levin edl@rubin-levin.net

Karen L. Lobring lobring@msn.com

Amelia Martin Adams aadams@dlgfirm.com

Christopher E. Baker cbaker@thbklaw.com

David W. Brangers dbrangers@lawyer.com

Kayla D. Britton

kayla.britton@faegrebd.com

John R. Burns, III john.burns@faegrebd.com

Ben T. Caughey

ben.caughey@icemiller.com

Jason W. Cottrell jwc@stuartlaw.com

Dustin R. DeNeal dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

Daniel J. Donnellon ddonnellon@ficlaw.com

Jeffrey R. Erler jerler@ghjhlaw.com

Sandra D. Freeburger sfreeburger@dsf-atty.com

Patrick B. Griffin

patrick.griffin@kutakrock.com

John David Hoover jdhoover@hooverhull.com

Jay Jaffe

jay.jaffe@faegrebd.com

Jill Zengler Julian Jill.Julian@usdoj.gov

James A. Knauer jak@kgrlaw.com

Randall D. LaTour rdlatour@vorys.com

Martha R. Lehman mlehman@kdlegal.com

Kim Martin Lewis kim.lewis@dinslaw.com

Jason A. Lopp jlopp@wyattfirm.com

John W. Ames james@bgdlegal.com

Robert A. Bell rabell@vorys.com

Steven A. Brehm sbrehm@bgdlegal.com

Joe Lee Brown

Joe.Brown@Hardincounty.biz

John R. Carr, III jrciii@acs-law.com

Bret S. Clement bclement@acs-law.com

Kirk Crutcher

kcrutcher@mcs-law.com

Laura Day Delcotto Idelcotto@dlgfirm.com

Trevor L. Earl tearl@rwsvlaw.com

William K. Flynn wkflynn@strausstroy.com

Melissa S. Giberson msgiberson@vorys.com

Terry E. Hall

terry.hall@faegrebd.com

John Huffaker

john.huffaker@sprouselaw.com

James Bryan Johnston bjtexas59@hotmail.com

Jay P. Kennedy jpk@kgrlaw.com

Erick P. Knoblock eknoblock@daleeke.com

David A. Laird

david.laird@moyewhite.com

Scott R. Leisz sleisz@bgdlegal.com

James B. Lind jblind@vorys.com

John Hunt Lovell john@lovell-law.net

Harmony A. Mappes harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

Kelly Greene McConnell lisahughes@givenspursley.com

William Robert Meyer, II rmeyer@stites.com

Allen Morris amorris@stites.com

Matthew Daniel Neumann mneumann@hhclaw.com

Matthew J. Ochs kim.maynes@moyewhite.com

Ross A. Plourde ross.plourde@mcafeetaft.com

Timothy T. Pridmore tpridmore@mcjllp.com

Eric W. Richardson ewrichardson@vorys.com

Mark A. Robinson mrobinson@vhrlaw.com

Joseph H. Rogers jrogers@millerdollarhide.com

Niccole R. Sadowski nsadowski@thbklaw.com

Ivana B. Shallcross ishallcross@bgdlegal.com

James E. Smith, Jr. jsmith@smithakins.com

Joshua N. Stine kabritt@vorys.com

Meredith R. Theisen mtheisen@daleeke.com

Christopher M. Trapp ctrapp@rubin-levin.net

Andrew James Vandiver avandiver@aswdlaw.com

Charles R. Wharton Charles.R. Wharton@usdoj.gov

Jason P. Wischmeyer jason@wischmeyerlaw.com

John Frederick Massouh john.massouh@sprouselaw.com

James Edwin McGhee mcghee@derbycitylaw.com

Kevin J. Mitchell kevin.mitchell@faegrebd.com

Judy Hamilton Morse judy.morse@crowedunlevy.com

Walter Scott Newbern wsnewbern@msn.com

Jessica Lynn Olsheski jessica.olsheski@justice-law.net

Brian Robert Pollock bpollock@stites.com

Anthony G. Raluy traluy@fbhlaw.net

Joe T. Roberts jratty@windstream.net

Jeremy S. Rogers Jeremy.Rogers@dinslaw.com

James E. Rossow jim@rubin-levin.net

Thomas C. Scherer tscherer@bgdlegal.com

Sarah Elizabeth Sharp sarah.sharp@faegrebd.com

William E. Smith, III wsmith@k-glaw.com

Andrew D. Stosberg astosberg@lloydmc.com

John M. Thompson john.thompson@crowedunlevy.com

Chrisandrea L. Turner clturner@stites.com

Andrea L. Wasson andrea@wassonthornhill.com

Sean T. White swhite@hooverhull.com

James T. Young james@rubin-levin.net

Michael W. McClain mmcclain@mcclaindewees.com

Brian H. Meldrum bmeldrum@stites.com

Terrill K. Moffett kendalcantrell@moffettlaw.com

Erin Casey Nave enave@taftlaw.com

Shiv Ghuman O'Neill shiv.oneill@faegrebd.com

Michael Wayne Oyler moyler@rwsvlaw.com

Wendy W. Ponader wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com

Eric C. Redman ksmith@redmanludwig.com

David Cooper Robertson crobertson@stites.com

John M. Rogers johnr@rubin-levin.net

Steven Eric Runyan ser@kgrlaw.com

Stephen E. Schilling seschilling@strausstroy.com

Suzanne M Shehan suzanne.shehan@kutakrock.com

Amanda Dalton Stafford ads@kgrlaw.com

Matthew R. Strzynski indyattorney@hotmail.com

Kevin M. Toner kevin.toner@faegrebd.com

U.S. Trustee ustpregion10.in.ecf@usdoj.gov

Jennifer Watt jwatt@kgrlaw.com

Michael Benton Willey michael.willey@ag.tn.gov

John D Dale, Jr. Johndaleatty@msn.com I further certify that on December 12, 2014, a copy of the foregoing pleading was served via electronic mail transmission on the following:

Thomas Richard Alexander, II tra@rgba-law.com

/s/ Harmony Mappes